FIFTH DAY—*Wednesday 31st October 1928.*

The House re-assembled at 12 noon precisely.

Before the proceedings of the Assembly commenced, THE DEWAN said that there were two adjournment motions before him, *viz.*, (1) in regard to the dismissal of certain school masters studying in the Bangalore District Normal School for having signed the memorial submitted to His Highness the Maharaja respecting the Bangalore Disturbances, and (2) in regard to the conduct of the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, for including certain subjects for discussion in the Representative Assembly though they were not passed at the District Meeting, etc. The Dewan said that if at least 50 members of the House were in favour of the motions he was prepared to admit them. The majority of the House being agreeable, the Dewan said that the first motion might be discussed the next day at 4-30 p.m. The Dewan made it clear that ordinarily he would not permit the discussion of a subject which was purely a departmental matter and that it was only as an exceptional case that he permitted it this time and emphasised that this should not be quoted as a precedent in future. The House thanked the Dewan for the concession.

The second motion was appointed for discussion on the 2nd November.

MR. NILGIRI SANJIVIAH then prayed for permission to move the following special resolution:—

"That this House recommends to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja that the licenses granted to the following newspapers be withdrawn at once in the interests of the State:—

The Evening Mail, Visvakarnataka, Veerakesari, Mysore Patriot, Sampathabhyudaya, Sadvi and Tai Nadu."

MR. HOSAKOPPA KRISHNA RAO observed that the resolution could not be admitted under the rules.

MR. MAHOMED ABBAS KHAN said that the President had the power to admit the resolution.

MR. B. NARASINGA RAO said that there was no such power vested in the President but that he would not come in the way if the President allowed it.

MR. NILGIRI SANJIVIAH requested that the resolution might be admitted as he represented minority interests.

MR. M. P. SOMASEKHARA RAO said that even then the resolution should have been sent within the prescribed time.

MR. RAMADOSS said that it could be admitted at any time as Mr. Sanjiviah represented minority interests.

MR. K. T. BHASHYAM IYENGAR said that any subject might be permitted before the agenda was prepared and printed and that after that, nothing could be permitted.

MR. MAHOMED ABBAS KHAN said that there were several instances in the past where such resolutions were admitted by the President.

MR. M. P. SOMASEKHARA RAO then read out Rule 37 and pointed out that resolutions should be sent in proper time and that the House should not be taken by surprise.

THE PRESIDENT promised to consider the matter and give his decision later.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS.

MR. H. B. GUNDAPPA (Hassan Taluk).—

Q.—33. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) The total strength of the students in the Lower Secondary classes throughout the State in the years 1925-26, 1926-27 and 1927-28 respectively?

(*b*) Of these, how many were allowed to sit for the final examination in each of the above years?

(*c*) How many of the sent-up students were declared to have passed in each of the above years?

(*d*) Among those who were not allowed to sit for the final examination, how many had not kept up the required term in each year?

(*e*) What is the total strength of the students who were not allowed to sit for the examination in each of the above years?

(*f*) How many of the sent-up students were first year students, and how many had been detained in the same class in previous years in each of the three years?

(*g*) Will the Government be pleased to order that every student in the Lower Secondary Class be allowed to sit for the final examination without any hindrance?